

Copernican Journal of Finance & Accounting - Review Form

Review No:*

Title of the article:*

I declare, that*

- I do not know the identity of the author/authors of the reviewed article
- I know the identity of the author/authors, but there is no conflict of interest understood as direct personal relationships (in particular affinity to the second degree, marriage) or professional submission relationships holding between me as a reviewer and the author/authors , or direct scientific cooperation occurring during the last two years preceding the year of the preparation of the review.

The adequacy of the article with the profile of this journal*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The adequacy of the article title to its content*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The adequacy of the article summary to its content*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The merits (substantial) content of the article*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

Topicality of the problem*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The originality of the problem*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

What are the research methods used in the article*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

What are the interpretations presented in the article*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The importance of the problem for the practice*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The correctness of using scientific terminology*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

What are the final conclusions presented in the article*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

The formal and linguistic side of the article*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern

At what level has the author skillfully used current and sufficiently differentiated literature*

- very good
- good
- average
- poor
- The criterion does not concern


More detailed comments (e.g. concerning modifications of article, shorten the article, changing the title etc.)




The recommendation for publication: (Please rate the article by placing an "X" in the appropriate field):*

- The article should be rejected
- The article is recommended to be published:
- without any modifications
- after editorial modifications
- after modifications concerning the merits
- after complete reediton
- after completion or extending the research

Name and Surname*



Title and the institutions represented*



Phone number

email

Data

Signature

* Denotes required field